

DAVID C. BROWNSTEIN (NO. 141929)
 LESLIE F. BROWN (NO. 209292)
 HELLER EHRMAN LLP
 333 Bush Street
 San Francisco, CA 94104-2878
 Telephone: (415) 772-6000
 Facsimile: (415) 772-6268

JONATHAN BLACKMAN, Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
 CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
 One Liberty Plaza
 New York, New York 10006
 Telephone: (212) 225-2000
 Facsimile: (212) 225-3999

Attorneys for Defendants THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO
 BANCO de MEXICO, S.A.; BANCO de CREDITO
 RURAL, S.A. as successor in interest to the BANCO
 de CREDITO AGRICOLA, S.A.; and PATRONATO del
 AHORRO NACIONAL, S.A.; as successor in interest to
 the BANCO del AHORRO NACIONAL, S.A.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SENORINO RAMIREZ CRUZ; LEOCADIO
 de la ROSA; LIBORIO SANTIAGO PEREZ;
 FELIPE NAVA; IGNACIO MACIAS; and
 RAFAEL NAVA, on behalf of themselves and
 all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; ESTADOS
 UNIDOS MEXICANOS; WELLS FARGO
 BANK; BANCO de MEXICO, BANCO
 NACIONAL de CREDITO RURAL, S.N.C., as
 successor in interest to the BANCO de CREDITO
 AGRICOLA, S.A.; and PATRONATO del
 AHORRO NACIONAL, as successor in interest
 to the BANCO del AHORRO NACIONAL, S.A.

Defendants.

Case No. C 01 0892 CRB

Consolidated with:

Case No. C 02-1942 CRB
 Case No. C 02-1943 CRB
 Case No. C 02-1944 CRB

ORDER AMENDING
 JUNE 16, 2005 ORDER

Now before the Court is the Mexican Defendants' motion to amend the Court's
 Amended Memorandum and Order, Cruz v. United States, No. C 01- 0892 (CRB) (N.D. Cal.
 June 16, 2005) (the "Order"), to certify it for appeal, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). The

RECEIVED

JUL 4 2005
 RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

SEP - 9 2005

RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Order is hereby amended to add the following to page 36 before the section entitled
 "Conclusion:"

VII. Certification for Appeal pursuant to § 1292(b)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b), a district court may certify for immediate appeal certain "order[s that would] not otherwise [be] appealable" The Court finds that the issues raised by sections I, III and VI, infra, all are "[i)] controlling questions of law [(ii)] as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion and [(iii)] that an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation." 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). See James v. Price Stern Sloan, Inc., 283 F.3d 1064, 1068 (9th Cir. 2002).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 9, 2005


 The Hon. CHARLES R. BREYER
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Sybil 9 2005